

Rymer, Edwina

From: Dorsey, Nancy
Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 2:39 PM
To: Bierschenk, Arnold;Lawrence, Rob;Dellinger, Philip;Bates, William;Hildebrandt, Kurt;Johnson, Ken-E;Kobelski, Bruce
Subject: RE: IS last major section to review before Appendix O
Attachments: Induced seismicity Report 1-16-14 Final wo figs_edited for Peer Review_091014a.docx

Of course, that only works if the words are in your native tongue.

However, I have done one more shot at cleaning the section up. To preserve my sanity (whats left of it) I am sending the actual document with tracking on, but the mark-ups turned off. Who knows how it will open on your computers?

You can either 'leaf' through all the tracked changes or go to the section by clicking on the Navigation header:
REPORT/END PRODUCT TASK RESULTS

I will be out Friday through Wednesday for a little peace of mind (I am actually hoping for a lot of peace of mind.)

From: Bierschenk, Arnold
Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 2:29 PM
To: Lawrence, Rob; Dellinger, Philip; Dorsey, Nancy; Bates, William; Hildebrandt, Kurt; Johnson, Ken-E; Kobelski, Bruce
Subject: RE: IS last major section to review before Appendix O

In my (almost always cynical) mode, you are correct on the motto. I'm just stepping back from the ledge in the interest of preserving sanity.

After a while it gets to be like this: The phaonmneal pweor of the hmuan mnid, aoccdrnig to a rscheearch at Cmabrigde Uinervtisy, it dseno't mtaetr in waht oerdr the ltteres in a wrod are, the olny iproamtnt tihng is taht the frsit and lsat ltteer be in the rghi t pclae. The rset can be a taotl mses and you can sitll raed it whotuit a pboerlm. Tihs is bcuseae the huamn mnid deos not raed ervey lteter by istlef, but the wrod as a wlohe.

From: Lawrence, Rob
Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 2:22 PM
To: Bierschenk, Arnold; Dellinger, Philip; Dorsey, Nancy; Bates, William; Hildebrandt, Kurt; Johnson, Ken-E; Kobelski, Bruce
Subject: RE: IS last major section to review before Appendix O

Now Arnold. I (in my cynical mood) always thought the EPA motto was – Form Over Substance!

Rob Lawrence
Region 6
Policy Advisor - Energy Issues
214.665.6580

From: Bierschenk, Arnold

Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 1:37 PM

To: Dellinger, Philip; Dorsey, Nancy; Lawrence, Rob; Bates, William; Hildebrandt, Kurt; Johnson, Ken-E; Kobelski, Bruce

Subject: RE: IS last major section to review before Appendix O

I have no further changes to the wording.

I am hoping a technical editor will take care of consistency of formatting such as periods, outline style etc, because after a while, I have a hard time even noticing those things when thinking about what the actual document says.

From: Dellinger, Philip

Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 1:34 PM

To: Dorsey, Nancy; Lawrence, Rob; Bierschenk, Arnold; Bates, William; Hildebrandt, Kurt; Johnson, Ken-E; Kobelski, Bruce

Subject: RE: IS last major section to review before Appendix O

Nancy, as we just discussed, my primary comment on this is that we use similar citing formats in this outline, such as Section, Sub-section, sub-sub-section, etc. I also voted for “may not be possible...”.

From: Dorsey, Nancy

Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 12:38 PM

To: Lawrence, Rob; Bierschenk, Arnold; Bates, William; Dellinger, Philip; Hildebrandt, Kurt; Johnson, Ken-E; Kobelski, Bruce

Subject: RE: IS last major section to review before Appendix O

Did I say Word would be easier? Silly me!

Okay, I put it to where the Appendices E-H was supposed to be—as Rob correctly noticed I messed up the real number 3. I also lined up the bullets. Deep breath!

The first two bullets are complete sentences, so okay to have periods there?

From: Lawrence, Rob

Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 11:39 AM

To: Dorsey, Nancy; Bierschenk, Arnold; Bates, William; Dellinger, Philip; Hildebrandt, Kurt; Johnson, Ken-E; Kobelski, Bruce

Subject: RE: IS last major section to review before Appendix O

Minor further edits. I would drop all of the periods on the bulleted points.

Rob Lawrence

Region 6

Policy Advisor - Energy Issues

214.665.6580

From: Dorsey, Nancy

Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 11:17 AM

To: Lawrence, Rob; Bierschenk, Arnold; Bates, William; Dellinger, Philip; Hildebrandt, Kurt; Johnson, Ken-E; Kobelski,

Bruce

Subject: RE: IS last major section to review before Appendix O

Thanks everybody for your comments. I think at this point it might be easier to have a Word version. So I dumped the track changes copy into a small Word doc of its own.

Nobody has suggested any revisions to the section header, amazing!

What about the periods do I have them correct, please?

With respect to prevent or not, we fought long and hard to minimize and manage and get rid of prevent. That said, it is still open for discussion in the ONE LINE ONLY as marked with a comment. 😊